



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/815,440	03/22/2001	Steven M. Bennett	5038-87	5232
8791	3791 7590 06/24/2005 .		EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOF	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025-1030		2655	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner							
Examiner Art Unit 2655 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estatement of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be finely filled. - If the period for reply is godified above, the resources of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be finely filled. - If the period for reply specified above, the resources of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be finely filled. - If NO period for reply specified above, the resources of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be finely filled. - If NO period for reply specified above, the resources of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In one event, however, may a reply be finely filled. - If NO period for reply specified above, the resources of 37 CPR 1.13(e). - If NO period for reply specified above, the resources of the specified of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a replect of the communication and period them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(e). - Status - If NO period for reply specified above, the resource and the resource of the resource of the resource of the replect of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce a replect of the communication and period the replect of the resource o		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Michael N. Opsasnick The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is here within the period for reply specified above is here within the period for reply specified above is here within the period for reply specified above is here within the period for reply specified above is here within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is here the interior and the replacement of the period of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is here the interior and the replacement of the period of the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of the communication, even if simply field, may reduce any secret against the specified above and the replacement of the period of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is the statutory period and the replacement and the repla		09/815,440	BENNETT ET AL.				
- The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extending of time may be architecture of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the provision of the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the period for reply replicated above the less than thirty (00) days, are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered linely. I this period for reply is specified above the test than thirty (00) days, are reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered linely. I this period for reply is specified above the test than thirty (00) days, are reply the timely filed, they reduce dury extended period for reply will, by stantary period will apply and will be considered period for reply will, by stantary and will be provided to be communication. Provided the provided period of the communication and period of the communication. Failure to reply which the set or estended period for reply will, by stantary can be applicated to become addition. Provided the provided period of the stantary of the period of the stantary of the communication. Provided the provided period of the stantary of the stantary of the stantary files of the stantary of the stantary files of the stantary file	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of terre may be available under the provisions of 37 °PR 1.75(e). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely fited - Extensions of terre may be available under the provisions of 37 °PR 1.75(e). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely fited - Extensions of terre may be available under the provisions of 37 °PR 1.75(e). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely fited - Extensions of terre may be available under the provisions of 37 °PR 1.75(e). The provision of the provisio		·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estateation of the many be brained under the provisions of 3 of PR 1356). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, and the specified of reply is 100 for reply and the days (50) (30) AND THE form the mailing date of this communication for reply is 100 for reply in the statutory princing and part and the specified principle. Failure to reply which it is set or estantiated principle and statutory minimum of the minimum of the set of this communication. Failure to reply which it is set or estantiated principle and the communication, so went finely filed, may reduce any search and a set of the communication of the set of this communication. Part of the set of the set of the set of the communication of the set of this communication, event finely filed, may reduce any search and set of the communication of the set of the communication. A poly is action in S FINAL. 2 b) This action is final to in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2.4.6.8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1,2.4.6.8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26.28-30 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1,2.4.6.8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26.28-30 is/are rejected. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Application from the Inte		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of term may be waited under the provision of 3°C FR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. As a communication of the provision of the provis	• •						
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2005. 2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26,28-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26,28-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawling Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S800)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum part of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26,28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26,28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au	oril 2005.	·				
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.2.4.6-8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1.2.4.6-8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26.28-30 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a All b Some * c None of:							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6-8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.6-8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26.28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Data. Paper No(s)/Mail Data. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,-		secution as to the merits is				
Application of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-8,10-12,15,16,18-20,22,25,26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are abjected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1499 or PTO/SB/08)	,	—					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4.6-8.10-12.15.16.18-20.22.25.26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	100 00 is to a sounding in the					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Solution (S) 1.2.4.6-8.10-12.15,16.18-20.22.25.26.28-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•	·					
T) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some columnates have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	12\\ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			on No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		A) T Interview Summan	(PTO-413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	'atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/815,440 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Junqua et al (6415257) in view of Curry et al (6493669).

As per claims 29,30, <u>Junqua et al (6415257)</u> teaches a speech recognition system comprising:

- a) At least two speech models (Col 2, Line 60).
- b) A control module (Figure 1, See 10,12,14,24) operable to:
 - i. Determine context information about a call (Col 3, Line 8)
 - ii. Select one of at least two speech models as a selected default speech model based on the context information [grammar] (Col.3, Line 12).
 - iii. Configure a speech recognizer to use the selected model (Col.10, Line 55).
 - iv. Dynamically identifying whether a new speech model has the better fit to the initial information [based on communication channel and/or user characteristics] (Col 10, Line 55, Col 11, Lines 25 44, Col 12, Line 36 -66).
 - v. If so, associating the model having a best fit with the mapping target as a default model (Col 12, Line 1).

Art Unit: 2655

c) A recognition engine operable to:

- i. Receive an input speech stream (Col 2, Line 36).
- ii. Receive information about which speech model to use from the control module (Col 2, Line 46 Line 60).
- iii. Convert an input speech stream to an output text stream using the model (Col 5, Line 16).

As per claims 29, 30, <u>Junqua et al (6415257)</u> does not explicitly teach using a default speech model during speech processing, however, <u>Curry et al (6493669)</u> teaches the use of a default speech model during the recognition process (<u>Curry et al (6493669)</u>, col. 2 lines 61-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech recognition to modify the teachings <u>Junqua et al (6415257)</u> with defaulting to a speech model because it would advantageously offer speech recognition to an unidentified user (as opposed to not offering any speech recognition to an unidentified user, <u>Curry et al (6493669)</u>, col. 2 lines 62-63).

4. Claims 1, 2, 6 - 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18 - 20, 22, 25, 26 & 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherwood (US 6212498) in view Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent 6480825) in further view of Curry et al (6493669).

Regarding claim 1, Sherwood discloses an enrollment method where a user utterance and determining the content of a user utterance and determining whether the utterance matches a

Art Unit: 2655

portion of the enrollment text. Sherwood does not explicitly disclose determining initial information and mapping target, mapping the initial information to at least one model, identifying a model having a best fit to the initial information, associating the model having a best fit with the mapping target as a default model. However, Sharma et al. discloses a method for speaker recognition where the method comprises:

- a. Determining initial information associated with an input speech (Col 10, Line 25).
- b. Mapping the initial information to at least one model (Col 1, Line 38).
- c. <u>Dynamically identifying whether a new speech model has the better fit to the initial information [based on communication channel and/or user characteristics]</u> (Col 10, Line 55, Col 11, Lines 25 44, Col 12, Line 36 66).
- d. If so, associating the model having a best fit with the mapping target as a default model (Col 12, Line 1).

The ability to choose the best model fit would have improved results in a speaker/speech recognition system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sherwood et al. to items a, b, c & d as taught by Sharma et al. since the ability to choose the best model fit would have improved results in a speaker/speech recognition system (Sharma (6480825), col. 5 lines 40-50).

The combination of Sherwood (US 6212498) in view Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent 6480825) does not explicitly teach using a default speech model during speech processing, however, Curry et al (6493669) teaches the use of a default speech model during the recognition process (Curry et al (6493669), col. 2 lines 61-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2655

of ordinary skill in the art of speech recognition to modify the teachings of <u>Sherwood (US 6212498)</u> in view <u>Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent 6480825)</u> with defaulting to a speech model because it would advantageously offer speech recognition to an unidentified user (as opposed to not offering any speech recognition to an unidentified user, <u>Curry et al (6493669)</u>, col. 2 lines 62-63).

As per claim 2, Sherwood does not explicitly teach user and personal characteristics of the mapping target, however, Sharma et al. disclose a mapping target further comprises at least one of a user, personal characteristics of the user and communication channel characteristics (Sharma, Col 13, Line 60, Col 11, Lines 25 - 44, Col 12, Line 36 - 66)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sherwood et al reference to include mapping targets that included personal information because it would advantageously improve the accuracy of the recognition system (Sharma, col. 3 lines 35-45).

As per claims 6, 20 & 26, Sherwood does not explicitly teach types of communication channels, however, Sharma et al. disclose that the communication channel characteristics will comprise of at least one from the group comprised of: type of connection, model of phone, network identifiers, network characteristics and background noise level (Sharma, Col 13, Line 63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sherwood et al reference to be used for specific applications because, for example, the system could be tailored for a home-detention system (Sharma, col. 13 lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2655

As per claim 7, Sherwood does not explicitly teach mapping into alternate models, however, Sharma et al. disclose a method that further associates at least one alternative model with the mapping target from the mapped models. Sharma et al. describe that during the verification process, a password of the users are stored and verified against a stored version [mapping process]. In addition, the communication channel characteristics [alternative model] are also stored and verified against a version that was stored during enrollment and linked to the password data (Col 14, Line 25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sherwood et al reference for model adaptation because it would improve upon the accuracy of verification system (Sharma, col. 14, lines 30-40).

As per claims 8,11,12, 15, 16,18, 19, 22, 25 & 28, Sherwood disclose an enrollment method where a user utterance and determining the content of a user utterance and determining whether the utterance matches a portion of the enrollment text [automatic speech *recognition*]. Sherwood does not explicitly disclose determining initial information and mapping target, mapping the initial information to at least one model, identifying a model having a best fit to the initial information, associating the model having a best fit with the mapping target as a default model. However, Sharma et al. disclose a method for speaker recognition where the method comprises:

a. Receiving a call from a user and later identifying of user (Col 3, Lines46 - Lines65).

Art Unit: 2655

- b. Determining characteristics of a communication channel through which the call is received (Col 3, Lines 46 Lines 65).
- c. Selecting a default speech model based upon the characteristics of the channel (Col 3, Lines 50 Lines 64);
- d. Configuring a speech recognizer to use the default speech model;
- e. <u>Dynamically identifying whether a new speech model has the better fit to the initial information [based on communication channel and/or user characteristics]</u>
 (Col 10, Line 55, Col 11, Lines 25 44, Col 12, Line 36 -66).
- f. If so, associating the model having a best fit with the mapping target a default model (Col 12, Line 1).

The ability to choose the best model fit would have improved results in a speaker/speech recognition system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sherwood et al. to items a, b, c, d, e & f as taught by Sharma et al. since the ability to choose the best model fit would have improved results in a speaker/speech recognition system (Sharma, col. 4 lines 40-50).

5. Claims 4 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Sherwood et al. (U.S. Patent 6212498) in view of Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent 6480825) in further view of Curry et al (6493669) as applied to claims 2 and 8 above, and further in view of Junqua et al.(U.S Patent

6415257).

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 4 & 10, the combination of Sherwood et al. (U.S. Patent 6212498) in view of Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent 6480825) in further view of Curry et al (6493669) do not disclose the personal characteristics that include gender, native language, age, ethnicity and home region. However, Junqua et al. (U.S. Patent 6415257) teaches the use of identifying the age of certain users so that channels can be blocked (Col 3, Line 1). The identity of the user is necessary information can be selectively limited e.g. blocking a child's access to a television channel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination Sherwood et al. (U.S. Patent 6212498) in view of Sharma et al. (U.S. Patent 6480825) in further view of Curry et al (6493669) to include the age, gender, etc. of the user as taught by Junqua et al. since it would have selectively limit/give access to users based on characteristics related to age, gender, etc. (Junqua et al. (U.S. Patent 6415257), col. 3 lines 1-5).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/05 are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

Art Unit: 2655

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to: (703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The facsimile phone number for this group is (571)272-7629.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno 6/15/05

Michael N. Opsasnick

Examiner
Art Unit 2655